**Individual Mark (35 Marks) – CLO2 & CLO3:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Fail**  **(0 – 25)** | **Poor**  **(26 – 50)** | **Good**  **(51 – 75)** | **Excellent**  **(76 – 100)** | **Marks**  **Awarded** |
| Overall look of the web application (10M – CLO3) | No or bad graphical user interface design for the web application (0 – 2M) | Little artistic merit, header image, footer floats correctly under content, CSS menu correctly placed. (3 – 5M). | Artistic flair and good design look. Contents on the website well arranged. (6 – 8M) | Well-designed appealing site using CSS layout with complete website requirements.  Put extra efforts to consider the different types of user’s accessibility. (9 – 10M) |  |
| Appropriate use of HTML elements (15M – CLO3) | No or bad usage of HTML tags and elements (0 – 4M) | Minimal and inappropriate usage of HTML tags and elements (5 – 7M) | HTML tags and elements are incorporated properly with heading, hyperlinks, and lists. (8 – 11M) | As previous level (average) but more relevant HTML elements included to develop complete website requirements. (12 – 15M). |  |
| Implementation of JavaScript Framework – Angular & relevant libraries/frameworks (40M – CLO3) | No or bad usage of Angular components (0 – 10M) | Minimal and appropriate usage of Angular components. (11 – 20M) | Angular components, templates, directives, and binding are incorporated properly to form basic functionalities of web application. Use of appropriate libraries and frameworks. (21 – 30M) | As previous level (average) with complete functionalities listed in Section C using Angular component correctly. Use of appropriate libraries and frameworks effectively to enhance the dynamic of a website. (31 – 40M) |  |
| Report (25M – CLO2) | No or bad report content written without any formatting. (0 – 6M) | Proper formatting of report with most of the requirements included. Relevant web technologies listed. (7 – 13M) | As previous level with complete screenshot of websites and its corresponding coding with description. Complete web technologies listed with explanation on the applicability. (14 – 20M) | As previous level with discussion on web technologies implementation using literature support in the project. Comprehensive evaluation on technologies used in the web development. Appropriate APA referencing in report. (21 – 25M) |  |
| Presentation (10M – CLO3) | No or bad presentation where students totally not understand what they learned. (0 – 4M) | Lack of understanding in the development of the system, presentation was brief and poorly structured. (5 – 7M) | Showed a good understanding in the development of the system, content is relevant and organized. (7 – 11M) | Complete understanding in the development of the system, content is comprehensive and clearly structured. (12 – 15M) |  |
| Late Submission Penalty (minus 5 marks per day) | | | | |  |
| **TOTAL** | | | | |  |